

Audit Committee  
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council  
Progress Report and Update  
Year ended 31 March 2017

January 2017

**Sarah Ironmonger**

Engagement Lead

T 01293 554072

E [sarah.ironmonger@uk.gt.com](mailto:sarah.ironmonger@uk.gt.com)

**Trevor Greenlee**


Engagement Manager

T 01293 554071

E [trevor.greenlee@uk.gt.com](mailto:trevor.greenlee@uk.gt.com)



The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect your business or any weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.



# Introduction

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.


The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a Council.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector at [www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/](http://www.grant-thornton.co.uk/en/Services/Public-Sector/) and where you can also download copies of our publications.


If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Sarah Ironmonger   Engagement Lead   T 01293 554072   E [sarah.lironmonger@uk.gt.com](mailto:sarah.lironmonger@uk.gt.com)

Trevor Greenlee   Engagement Manager   T 01293 554071   E [trevor.greenlee@uk.gt.com](mailto:trevor.greenlee@uk.gt.com)




# Planned work



2016/17 work	Planned Date	Comments
<p><b>Interim accounts audit</b>                      Our interim audit work will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• work to understand the control environment and the framework of controls for financial systems</li> <li>• walkthrough testing to confirm whether controls are implemented in accordance with our understanding in areas where we have identified a possible risk of material misstatement</li> <li>• early substantive testing in areas such as payroll and payments.</li> </ul>	<p>December 2016 –                      March 2017</p>	
<p><b>Accounts Audit Plan</b>                      Under auditing standards we issue a detailed accounts audit plan setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2016-17 financial statements.</p>	<p>March 2017</p>	
<p><b>Final accounts audit</b>                      Work to complete our audit of the 2016-17 financial statements.</p> <p>We will also continue to liaise regularly with the finance team throughout the year, including on emerging accounting and auditing issues.</p>	<p>July 2017</p>	



# Progress at March 2016



2016/17 work	Planned Date	Comments
<p><b>Value for Money (VfM) conclusion</b></p> <p>We are required by section 21 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the NAO Code of Audit Practice to satisfy ourselves that you have put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VFM) conclusion.</p> <p>In carrying out this work we are required to follow the NAO's Auditor Guidance Note 3 (AGN 03) issued in November 2015. Under AGN03 auditors are now required to reach their statutory conclusion based on the following overall evaluation criterion: <i>"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"</i>.</p> <p>AGN03 provides examples of proper arrangements using three sub-criteria;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• informed decision making</li> <li>• sustainable resource deployment</li> <li>• working with partners and other third parties.</li> </ul> <p>These sub-criteria are intended to guide auditors in reaching their overall conclusion, but they not separate criteria for assessment purposes and auditors are not required to reach judgements on each of them.</p>	<p>February - July</p>	<p>We will carry out an initial risk assessment to determine our approach and report this in our Audit Plan. We will report the outcomes from our Value for Money conclusion work in our Audit Findings Report.</p>



# Grant Thornton Publications





# Advancing closure: the benefits to local authorities

With new regulation bringing forward the required publishing date for accounts local authorities must consider the areas needed to accelerate financial reporting.

In February 2015 regulations were laid before parliament confirming proposals to bring forward the date by which local authority accounts must be published in England. From 2017-18 authorities will need to publish their audited financial statements by 31 July, with Wales seeking to follow a similar approach over the next few years.


Many local government bodies are already experiencing the benefits of advancing their financial reporting processes and preparing their accounts early, including:

- raising the profile of the finance function within the organisation and transforming its role from a back office function to a key enabler of change and improvement across the organisation;
- high quality financial statements as a result of improved quality assurance arrangements;

- improved financial controls and accounting systems, resulting from more efficient and refined financial processes; and
- allowing finance officers more time to focus on forward looking medium term financial planning and transformational projects, to address future financial challenges.

While there is no standard set of actions to achieve faster close there are a number of consistent key factors across the organisations successfully delivering accelerated closedown of their accounts. Our report explores these in further detail, concludes with a check list of suggested actions and provides insights from case study authorities who tell their stories of how they have achieved success.

Grant Thornton reports



<http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/advancing-closure-the-benefits-to-local-authorities/>

# Integrated Reporting

## Looking beyond the report

The move away from reporting based on historic financial information is beginning to gain momentum and Integrated Reporting is now mandatory in some countries.

In the UK CIPFA proposed in a consultation document that from 2017/18 the narrative report reflects elements of the International Integrated Reporting Council's framework, whilst the Treasury is encouraging public sector organisations to adopt Integrated Reporting.

*Integrated reporting: Looking beyond the report* was produced by our global Integrated Reporting team, based in the UK, New Zealand and South Africa, to help organisations obtain the benefits of Integrated Reporting.

The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC) describes Integrated Reporting as "*enhancing the way organisations think, plan and report the story of their business.*"

At Grant Thornton we fully agree with this and in our view the key word is 'enhancing', because a lot of the elements to support effective Integrated Reporting are likely to be in place already.

However, anyone focussing simply on the production of the report itself will not reap the full benefits that effective Integrated Reporting can offer.

Instead, think of Integrated Reporting as demonstrating "integrated thinking" across your entire organisation, with the actual report being an essential element of it.

Our methodology is based on six modules which are designed to be independent of each other.

1. **Secure support** – effective Integrated Reporting needs leadership from the top.
2. **Identify stakeholders** – who are they and how can you engage with them?
3. **Identify the capitals for your organisation** – what resources do you use to create value?
4. **What do you have – and what do you need?** – do you have the data you need and is it accurate?
5. **Set limits and create boundaries** – make sure your report is focussed.
6. **Review and improve** – Integrated Reporting is a continuous learning process.

Our approach to Integrated Reporting is deliberately simple; experience has shown us that this works best. Things are often only complicated because people made them that way.

Our teams can help support you with the Integrated Reporting process. Please speak to your Engagement Lead if you would like to discuss this further.

Grant Thornton publications



Grant Thornton  
An instant for growth

Integrated reporting  
Looking beyond the report  
November 2016





# Integrated Thinking and Reporting

CIPFA Publications

## Focusing on value creation in the public sector

Grant Thornton has seconded staff to the International Integrated Reporting Council on a pro bono basis for a number of years.

They have been working on making the principles of Integrated Reporting <IR> relevant to the public sector and co-authored a recent report by CIPFA and the World Bank: *Integrated thinking and reporting: focusing on value creation in the public sector - an introduction for leaders*.

Around one third of global gross domestic product (GDP) is made up by the public sector and this is being invested in ensuring there is effective infrastructure, good educational opportunities and reliable health care. In many ways, it is this investment by the public sector that is helping to create the conditions for wealth creation and preparing the way for the success of this and future generations.

Traditional reporting frameworks, focussed only on historic financial information, are not fit-for-purpose for modern, multi-dimensional public sector organisations.

Integrated Reporting supports sustainable development and financial stability and enables public sector organisations to broaden the conversation about the services they provide and the value they create.

The public sector faces multiple challenges, including:

- Serving and being accountable to a wide stakeholder base;
- Providing integrated services with sustainable outcomes;
- Maintaining a longer-term perspective, whilst delivering in the short term; and
- Demonstrating the sustainable value of services provided beyond the financial.

The <IR> Framework is principle based and enables organisations to tailor their reporting to reflect their own thinking and strategies and to demonstrate they are delivering the outcomes they were aiming for.

Integrated Reporting can help public sector organisations deal with the above challenges by:

- Addressing diverse and often conflicting public accountability requirements;
- Focussing on the internal and external consequences of an organisation's activities;
- Looking beyond the 'now' to the 'near' and then the 'far';
- Considering the resources used other than just the financial.

The report includes examples of how organisations have benefitted from Integrated Reporting.



# Brexit

## Planning can help organisations reduce the impact of Brexit

Several months have passed since the referendum to leave the European Union (EU), during which there has been a flurry of political activity, including the party conference season.

After many years of relative stability, organisations will need to prepare themselves for a period of uncertainty and volatility and will need to keep their risk registers under constant review. The outcome of the US Presidential election in November 2016 has added to this uncertainty.

The High Court ruling that Parliament should have a say before the UK invokes Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty – which triggers up to two years of formal EU withdrawal talks – will not, in our view, impact on the final outcome. There appears to be a general political consensus that Brexit does mean Brexit, but we feel there could be slippage beyond the original timetable which expected to see the UK leave the EU by March 2019.

2017 elections in The Netherlands (March), France (April/May), and Germany (October/November) will complicate the Brexit negotiation process and timeline at a time when Brexit is more important for the UK than it is for the remaining 27 Member States

The question still remains, what does Brexit look like?

While there may be acceptance among politicians that the UK is leaving the EU, there is far from any agreement on what our future relationship with the continent should be.

So, what do we expect based on what has happened so far?

### Existing EU legislation will remain in force

We expect that the Government will introduce a “Repeal Act” (repealing the European Communities Act of 1972 that brought us into the EU) in early 2017.

As well as undoing our EU membership, this will transpose existing EU regulations and legislation into UK law. We welcome this recognition of the fact that so much of UK law is based on EU rules and that trying to unpick these would not only take many years but also create additional uncertainty.

### Taking back control is a priority

It appears that the top priority for government is 'taking back control', specifically of the UK's borders. Ministers have set out proposals ranging from reducing our dependence on foreign doctors or cutting overseas student numbers. The theme is clear: net migration must fall.

## Grant Thornton update

### Challenge questions:

- Have you assessed the potential impact of Brexit on your organisation?
- Does your risk register include Brexit and is this regularly updated and reported?

### Leaving the Single Market appears likely

The tone and substance of Government speeches on Brexit, coupled with the wish for tighter controls on immigration and regulation, suggest a future where the UK enjoys a much more detached relationship with the EU.

Potential existing examples for the UK's future relationship, such as the 'Norwegian' or 'Swiss' models, seem out of the question. The UK wants a 'bespoke deal'.

Given the rhetoric coming from Europe, our view is that this would signal an end to the UK's membership of the Single Market. With seemingly no appetite to amend the four key freedoms required for membership, the UK.

## Grant Thornton update

appears headed for a so-called 'Hard Brexit'. It is possible that the UK will seek a transitional arrangement, to give time to negotiate the details of our future trading relationship.

This is of course, all subject to change, and politics can move quickly.

Where does this leave the public sector?

After a relatively stable summer we expect there will be increased volatility as uncertainty grows approaching the formal negotiation period.

### Planning can help organisations reduce the impact of Brexit

The chancellor has acknowledged the effect this may have on investment and signalled his intention to support the economy, delaying plans to get the public finances into surplus by 2019/20.

We expect that there will be some additional government investment in 2017, with housing and infrastructure being the most likely candidates.

Clarity is a long way off. However, public sector organisations should be planning now for making a success of a hard Brexit, with a focus on:

**Staffing** – organisations should begin preparing for possible restrictions on their ability to recruit migrant workers and also recognise that the UK may be a less attractive place for them to live and work. Non-UK employees might benefit from a degree of reassurance as our expectation is that those already here will be allowed to stay. Employees on short term or rolling contracts might find it more difficult to stay over time.

**Financial viability** – public sector bodies should plan how they will overcome any potential shortfalls in funding (e.g. grants, research funding or reduced student numbers).

**Market volatility** – for example pension fund and charitable funds investments and future treasury management considerations.

**International collaboration** – perhaps a joint venture or PPP scheme with an overseas organisation or linked research projects.

For regular updates on Brexit, please see our website:

<http://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/brexit-planning-the-future-shaping-the-debate/>



# Local Government Accounting and other issues





# Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2016/17

This is the seventh edition of the Code to be prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), which have been adopted as the basis for public sector accounting in the UK. The 2016/17 Code has been developed by CIPFA/LASAAC and has effect for financial years commencing on or after 1 April 2016.

Local authorities in the United Kingdom are required to keep their accounts in accordance with 'proper (accounting) practices'. This is defined, for the purposes of local government legislation, as meaning compliance with the terms of the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom (the Code).

The Code includes changes resulting from the 'Telling the Story' review on improving the presentation of local authority financial statements. These include new formats and reporting requirements for the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement and the Movement in Reserves Statement and the introduction of the new Expenditure and Funding Analysis.

Amendments arising from the narrow scope amendments to International Financial Reporting Standards including changes from the following amended standards:


IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements under the International Accounting Standards Board Disclosure Initiative

IAS 24 Related Party Disclosures in relation to key management personnel as a result of the Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2010 – 2012

IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements Accounting for Acquisitions of interest in Joint Operations

IFRS 8 Operating Segments as a result of the Annual Improvements to IFRSs 2010 – 2012.

An update to the Statements Reporting Reviews of Internal Controls Section of the Code for the changes to the Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016) published by CIPFA and SOLACE.



# Financial sustainability of local authorities: capital expenditure and resourcing

National Audit Office

According to the NAO, Local authorities in England have maintained their overall capital spending levels but face pressure to meet debt servicing costs and to maintain investment levels in their existing asset bases.

Since 2010-11, local authorities have faced less pressure on their resources to support capital expenditure as compared to revenue. Although local authorities' revenue spending power fell by over 25 per cent in real terms from 2010-11 to 2015-16, the NAO estimates that capital grants to authorities marginally increased from 2010-11 to 2014-15 (excluding education).

Capital spending by authorities increased by more than five per cent in real terms overall between 2010-11 and 2014-15, but this is uneven across local authorities and service areas. Almost half of authorities reduced their capital spending. Most service areas saw an increase in capital spend with the exception of culture and leisure, where capital spending fell by 22 per cent overall.

The NAO's report, published on 15 June, found that authorities face a growing challenge to continue long-term investment in their existing assets. Total spending has remained stable, but increasingly capital activities are focused on 'invest to save' and growth schemes that cover their costs or have potential to deliver a revenue return. Many areas of authorities' asset management programmes do not meet these criteria and are now seen as a lower priority.

The report also notes that local authorities' debt servicing costs have grown as a proportion of revenue spending as revenue resources have fallen. A quarter of single-tier and county councils now spend the equivalent of 10 per cent or more of their revenue expenditure on debt servicing, with metropolitan district councils being particularly exposed.

According to the NAO DCLG has rightly focused on revenue issues in the 2015 Spending Review but in future reviews will need to focus more on capital. The Department is confident from its engagement with authorities that revenue pressures are their main concern. However, the NAO's analysis demonstrates that capital costs exert significant and growing pressure on revenue resources.

The full report is available at:

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/financial-sustainability-of-local-authorities-capital-expenditure-and-resourcing/>

# The changing face of Corporate Reporting

We have established a global network of public sector auditors and advisors to share good practice and to provide informed solutions to the corporate reporting challenges our clients face.

We were fortunate to have the CEO of the IIRC (International Integrated Reporting Council) speak at our most recent meeting. Integrated Reporting, <IR>, is a new approach to corporate reporting and it is building a world-wide following in both the public and private sectors.

In the commercial sector <IR> has led to improvements in business decision making, the understanding of risks and opportunities as well as better collaborative thinking by boards about goals and targets.

<IR> is based on integrated thinking that results in a report by an organisation about sustainable value creation. It requires a more cohesive and efficient approach to organisational reporting that draws on different reporting strands and communicates the full range of factors that materially affect the ability of an organisation to create value over time.

By moving the focus away from only short-term, backward looking, financial reporting, <IR> encourages organisations to report on a broader range of measures that link their strategic objectives to their performance. The result is an overview of an organisation's activities and performance in a much wider, more holistic, context.

- <IR> encourages organisations to consider whether there are any gaps in the information that is currently available to them, so that integrated thinking becomes embedded in mainstream practice.
- <IR> is underpinned by the International <IR> Framework published in December 2013. It is principles-based, allowing organisations to innovate and develop their reporting in the context of their own regulatory framework, strategy, key drivers, goals and objectives.
- <IR> is consistent with the Strategic Reports required from UK companies, the Performance Reports that government departments, agencies and NHS bodies produce and the developing Narrative Reporting in local government.

The IIRC has established a Public Sector Pioneer Network to consider why and how the public sector can adopt <IR>, with the end goal of improving transparency and building trust. There is already a core of UK organisations within this.

Integrated Reporting

Further information is available on the IIRC's website

# Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally

CIPFA publication

**Fighting Fraud and Corruption Locally** is a strategy for English local authorities that is the result of collaboration by local authorities and key stakeholders from across the counter fraud landscape .

This strategy is the result of an intensive period of research, surveys, face-to-face meetings and workshops. Local authorities have spoken openly about risks, barriers and what they feel is required to help them improve and continue the fight against fraud and to tackle corruption locally.

Local authorities face a significant fraud challenge. Fraud costs local authorities an estimated £2.1bn a year. In addition to the scale of losses, there are further challenges arising from changes in the wider public sector landscape including budget reductions, service remodelling and integration, and government policy changes. Local authorities will need to work with new agencies in a new national counter fraud landscape.

The strategy:

- calls upon local authorities to continue to tackle fraud with the dedication they have shown so far and to step up the fight against fraud in a challenging and rapidly changing environment
- illustrates the financial benefits that can accrue from fighting fraud more effectively
- calls upon central government to promote counter fraud activity in local authorities by ensuring the right further financial incentives are in place and helping them break down barriers to improvement
- updates and builds upon Fighting Fraud Locally 2011 in the light of developments such as The Serious and Organised Crime Strategy and the first UK Anti-Corruption Plan
- sets out a new strategic approach that is designed to feed into other areas of counter fraud and corruption work and support and strengthen the ability of the wider public sector to protect itself from the harm that fraud can cause.

The strategy can be downloaded from

<http://www.cipfa.org/services/counter-fraud-centre/fighting-fraud-and-corruption-locally>







© 2016 Grant Thornton UK LLP. All rights reserved.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

[grantthornton.co.uk](http://grantthornton.co.uk)

GRT102468