

From: [Anita Oakley](#)
To: [Andy Bracey](#); [Timothy Bishop](#); [David Thornewell](#)
Cc: [Martin Coffin](#); [Andy Edwards](#); [Robert Styles](#)
Subject: Re: Parking Action Plan - Phase 15
Date: 14 February 2025 18:12:49

Hi Andy

Thank you I am sure your solutions will find favour with the residents of the local area.

Kind regards

Anita

Anita Oakley
Borough Councillor
For Larkfield

From: Andy Bracey <Andy.Bracey@tmbc.gov.uk>
Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 1:48:31 PM
To: Timothy Bishop <Timothy.Bishop@tmbc.gov.uk>; Anita Oakley <Anita.Oakley@tmbc.gov.uk>; David Thornewell <David.Thornewell@tmbc.gov.uk>
Cc: Martin Coffin <Martin.Coffin@tmbc.gov.uk>; Andy Edwards <Andy.Edwards@tmbc.gov.uk>; Robert Styles <Robert.Styles@tmbc.gov.uk>
Subject: Parking Action Plan - Phase 15

Dear Ward Members for Larkfield

This informal consultation runs from 18th February 2024 and if you wish to comment (either in support or against the proposals) it would be appreciated if you could return your comments by 16th March 2024. We will then collate and review the responses and consider the next steps.

Kingfisher Road

Residents have complained about obstructive parking on Kingfisher Road (between Magpie Close and Raven Close).

With this in mind we are proposing new double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking and to maintain traffic movements on the bus route. Accordingly we are asking those in the local area for their views.

Details of the proposals are shown on the enclosed plan reference DD607-09 Larkfield - Kingfisher Road.

Lunsford Lane

Concerns have been raised about obstructive parking on Lunsford Lane, near the traffic calming and junction with Brook Road.

Concerns have also been raised about parking on both sides of the road. To this end we are

proposing new double yellow lines to prevent parking around the junctions (in accordance with the Highway Code) and to prevent parking on one side of the road, Accordingly we are asking those in the local area for their views.

Details of the proposals are shown on the enclosed plan reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

This informal consultation runs from 18th February 2024 and if you wish to comment (either in support or against the proposals) it would be appreciated if you could return your comments by 16th March 2024. We will then collate and review the responses and consider the next steps.

Andy Bracey FIHE
Parking Manager
Street Scene, Leisure & Technical Services

A note about how your personal data is used: As your councillor, I am the “data controller” of any personal data you provide to me. I will use this personal data to enable me to deal with your query or matter. This may also require me to share your personal data with Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council to make sure your query gets handled appropriately. If you have any questions about how your personal data is used, please let me know. For full details about your data rights and about how I will process your personal data please see the Councillors’ privacy notice which can be found [here](#)

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-400

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Duplicate response

Comments

This seems like a huge waste of money. I live on Lunsford Lane and it is simply a non issue that doesn't need resolving. Most houses have driveways, the road is ideal for visitors etc.

I would really encourage you to spend council money more efficiently, this is *entirely* unnecessary.

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-132

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

This section of Lunsford Lane is a 20mph zone, however we regularly see cars using this road as a bypass to the Gighill road traffic lights going 40mph+. If there are no more parked cars, this will only encourage more use of this road as a bypass and further endanger pedestrians etc with this speeding. This is a residential road, if anything we should be encouraging vehicles to utilise Gighill Road which is not residential.

In addition that that, most homes on this road have driveways, so I wouldn't say on road parking is ever an issue. The road is relatively wide and the parked cars do aid in slowing traffic (Which the speed bumps are useless at as you can drive straight over them).

I would add, at the Leybourne Way junction end up to the bus stop, I would agree with double yellows as parked cars here are an inconvenience.

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-189

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

Please put the double yellow lines on both sides. Our neighbours across the road currently park two cars opposite our drive. They will move them to our side of the road causing obstructions when we pull out of our drive. The road is too fast, moving cars off the road will make the road even more of a rat run. No one drives at 20 miles down it!

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-302

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

Currently having cars parked in their current locations helps reduce the traffic speed as most people do not stick to the 20 mph limit. If you put lines down one side of the road, you are just

making it clearer for drivers to speed up! I have lived on the road for 4 & half years and never seen any issues with parking or difficulty getting past any parked cars while driving on the road

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-303

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Duplicate response

Comments

Having lived here for over 5 years I have never been concerned with the level of on street parking. With this section of Lunsford Lane being used as a rat run, the on street parking serves as a deterrent to speeding vehicles and slows traffic. I can understand double yellow lines around the junctions but any further double yellow lines are unnecessary. The double yellow lines will push the parked vehicles further south along Lunsford Lane and onto private roads. By having vehicles parked on one side of the road would make it difficult for vehicles travelling south as they would have to always give way with limited passing places. Some of the houses along this part of Lunsford Lane do not have off-street parking with no driveways. Some of the bungalows have shared access driveways which makes it impractical or not possible to park vehicles off-street. Therefore, the proposed double yellow lines in this section of Lunsford Lane are not fully required.

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-257

I support the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

Support the proposal for more double yellow lines along Lunsford Lane. My husband is also replying but I would like to say that I am very concerned about the current number of cars parking on the pavement. Hope this new system will not increase this or it will be better policed. This is a busy road and many do not adhere to the 20 mile speed limit. I have a friend in Lunsford Lane who uses a mobility scooter and she finds it very frightening when cars on the pavement opposite us mean she has to go into the road .

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-297

I support the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Duplicate response

Comments

You need to continue the double yellow lines past the bus stop on the east side of Lunsford Lane as cars tend to park on the pavement due to being opposite the bus stop

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-206

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

The argument made that this is to combat pavement parking is logically flawed, the side of the road marked is not the side that is frequently blocked by pavement parking as its the wider of the 2 footways. There is also enough room on the carriageway for cars to park on both sides without using the footway, and still allow enough room for the bus (as this does already happen). Therefore education and individual notices should be sufficient.

This road already has a problem with speeding motorists, it did before the introduction of the 20mph zone and that has done nothing to slow traffic down, the parking on both sides is the only effective speed restriction on this road.

IF the lines must go ahead they need to extend 2m further otherwise parking on the speed cushions / in the road narrowing could occur and is likely to obstruct the driveway adjacent when vehicles try to squeeze between the double yellows and the driveway.

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-203

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

As there is not much parking along this stretch of the road if you are visiting any of the properties along this stretch of the highway this will restrict parking of vehicles and add to the congestion

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-190

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

I think it's just a money making scheme you have already put parking in Martin square. It's disgusting just taking money off everyone as they can't afford it in the cost of living.

Location – Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Consultation response – Phase 15 Online-200

I object to the proposed changes for Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Comments

This is another total waste of funds , there are no problems at this junction, the idea of people all parking on one side is ludicrous, the House owners that have driveways will then have to try and negotiate through parked cars either side increases the risk of accidents especially when exiting their driveway.

The Traffic on Leybourne way should be your priority, there is far too much traffic using that road , the traffic trying to leave industrial estates that you have allowed to be built , knowing the infrastructure was not sufficient.

At rush hour we cannot even exit Brooklands road to turn left or right .

The roundabout on Leybourne way should have some sort of signage to keep exits clear ,and also there will be a serious accident on this roundabout before long , traffic emerging from Bellingham way is very often at speed !! i have witnessed several very near misses!

This should be a priority!!

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: Ref DD607-10 Larkfield- Lunsford Lane
Date: 13 March 2025 10:52:11

To whom it may concern

I am not in favour of the proposed no waiting at any time on one side of Lunsford Lane from 385 to 357 as it will mean more parking on our side of the road which is already pretty full most of the time. It is very difficult to safely exit our driveway at present as our house [REDACTED] is in a dip in the road and visibility is bad due to parked cars on both sides of the driveway. Also the traffic does not adhere to the 20mph limit as it is so if the road is clear on one side it will make matters worse with speeding traffic up and down the road which is currently being used as a cut through due to traffic congestion on the Leybourne Way.

Regards

[REDACTED]
Sent from my iPad

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HX
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am ~~(in favour)~~ **(not in favour)*** of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
<p>Although I agree that double lines preventing parking should be extended around the Brook Road/Lunsford Lane/Keybourne Way junction, I do not agree that the double yellow lines should be extended upto & outside No 357. We suffer no ends of near misses when trying to exit our driveway due to vehicles parked to the right & left of our exit.</p>	
Signed	Date 02/03/25

The 20 mph is completely ignored and parking on one side of the road only, will just make the speed of approaching traffic worse!!
Increasingly vehicle owners ignore double yellow lines and park where they like, and there is no or very minimal enforcement. Typical examples Chaucer Way at the top of the walkway to Tesco's!

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HZ
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am (~~in favour~~ / not in favour) of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
<p>we live at [REDACTED] Lunsford Lane & have a drop kerb, we like to park over our driveway for security as we have a work van. we never see any issues with parking / walking along this Road but especially not on our part. we are all for double yellows near the top of the road near to brook Rd but there is no issue further in. it was also be very inconvenient</p>	
Signed [REDACTED]	Date 28th Feb 12S

*to our neighbours who do not have drivew on this side.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: ref DD607-10 Larkfield
Date: 16 March 2025 23:34:53

[REDACTED]
LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME206HZ
[REDACTED]

Re Ref DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane, I am NOT IN FAVOUR.

Comments.

1. Increased Traffic Speed. Removing the slowing chicane effect of vehicles parked both sides of the road. vehicles will no longer have to slow or halt to negotiate parked vehicles.
2. Many residents are elderly and have carers who need to park to provide essential care. They will have to park further away as spaces will be at a premium, I often have 1 or 2 vehicles parked on the lane, Brook Road and Springfield lane will be obvious alternatives, I cant see those residents welcoming that.
3. As a long term resident I have never heard of any problems for drivers wishing to turn into the not adopted Brook Road, other than it being flooded as the drains don't work.
4. More sensible would be reducing traffic using the lane as a rat run, leave the parking as is and
 - a. Make the exit from Lunsford Lane onto Leybourne way no right turn, left only.
 - b. No right turn into Lunsford Lane from Leybourne way. traffic would then use the light controlled junction at Gig Hill to access the top of the residential Lunsford lane.
5. A road is not only the means to get there it is also the destination. In this case our destination.
6. I applaud Workers who meet and leave their vehicles parked legally at a safe place and travel into London in one vehicle, reducing vehicle movements, congestion, pollution, its right on so many levels and I am happy to have them park outside my house.

Signed, [REDACTED]

Date 16th March 2025.

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: Ref DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane
Date: 14 March 2025 11:46:34

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Lunsford Lane
Larkfield
Aylesford
Kent
ME20 6HZ
[REDACTED]

I am not in favour of this proposal.

Lunsford Lane is already a rat run at peak times for traffic wishing to turn left on to Leybourne Way. It avoids the savage 'hump's', mini roundabout and traffic lights on Gighill Road. This proposal will do nothing but multiply this usage and increase the speed of the traffic. Lunsford Lane is supposed to have a 20 mph speed limit. I have witnessed cars at this end of the lane travelling in excess of 50mph. The 20 mph speed limit is not observed, nor is it enforced or likely to be enforced. The cars parked on both sides of the road slow to traffic down massively, particularly at peak times. Outside peak times fewer cars are parked on the road and traffic speeds are noticeably higher. This proposal will lead to more cars parking on Brook Road and Springfield Road which will not be popular with residents of those roads.

The negative impact on road safety caused by the addition of double yellow lines is more than any 'inconvenience' caused by on road parking.

It is an utter waste of money. Local authorities are threatening bankruptcy but somehow managing to find money for this – spend the money where the need is greatest not on this ill-conceived proposal.

[REDACTED] 15/3/2025



Virus-free. www.avg.com

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: Ref: DD607-10 Larkfield-Lunsford Lane
Date: 09 March 2025 16:54:34

Good Afternoon

With reference to the above proposed parking restrictions by way of double yellow lines along Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, I am writing to object to this for the following reasons. I feel that putting yellow lines down one side will create a 'rat run' on the side that will have the lines. As there will be no obstructing cars it will create a speeding opportunity as there will be a clear run. The parked cars kind of act as extra traffic calming.

Having lived on Lunsford Lane for 30 years I have seen the increase in traffic and the speed at which it travels. Despite the traffic calming speed humps which aren't really that effective there is a speed problem and having a clear lane will only make this worse. The road is mainly family houses with children, and this poses a danger to these households. Also there isn't enough on street parking on the other side of the road to accommodate the cars. People from the proposed end will end up having to park further up the road and I feel this will then encroach on parking spaces currently used by the residents that live there potentially neighbourhood problems.

I understand that pavement parking is a problem and can cause a risk to pedestrians especially people pushing pushchairs or prams and people who use disability vehicles. But I feel this will just carry on; the top end will become clogged with cars. It's just going to cause more issues i.e. disputes between households.

Maybe signs to say "NO KERB PARKING" would be a better solution.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: FW: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March
Date: 06 March 2025 17:39:38

Following on from my previous email – please provide exact details as to what prompted the proposal and what the desired outcome was ?

I have just witnessed workmen who park their private cars outside of my house all day whilst jumping in a van and heading off – they have just returned .. tooted up .. waved their middle finger to each other and sped away at more than 20mph !

This is totally unacceptable but sadly a regular occurrence that Im sure you would not approve of if it took place outside your house every day !

Surely .. the most logical & sensible option is to INSTALL DOUBLE YELLOW LINES ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ROAD AND PROVIDE RESIDENTIAL PARKING FOR THOSE RESIDENTS WHO DO NOT HAVE DRIVEWAYS

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2025 1:30:45 PM
To: parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk <parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March

Dear Sir Madam

Can someone please provide the rationale behind the proposal to install parking restrictions on just one side of Lunsford Lane 357 – 391

I live at number [REDACTED] and **strongly object to this proposal** based upon the fact that every visitor to the nearby Leybourne Lake Country Park , resident visitors , family & friends & workman who offload materials around 6am & park in the road all day (as is the current status) will now simply do so along one side of the whole road. This will result in the east side of the road looking like one long line of parked vehicles 24/7 interspersed only by residents' driveways

Not only will this look unsightly, but it would be an “ accident ready to happen “ as sight lines for people entering & exiting their driveways and pedestrians crossing the road will be greatly affected by TMBC proposing to design in a health & safety issue . This proposal will make things far worse unless a decision is taken to **install double yellow lines on both sides of the road**

I eagerly await a reply and will be more than happy to attend a joint site visit should you wish to arrange one.

One side note of interest is the ineffective traffic calming measures currently in place in Lunsford Lane – the current humps are pretty ineffective unlike the ones along Castle Way that at least make vehicles slow to allow passing traffic through . Take a look and see what you think

Best

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March
Date: 21 February 2025 13:30:53

Dear Sir Madam

Can someone please provide the rationale behind the proposal to install parking restrictions on just one side of Lunsford Lane 357 – 391

I live at number [REDACTED] and **strongly object to this proposal** based upon the fact that every visitor to the nearby Leybourne Lake Country Park , resident visitors , family & friends & workman who offload materials around 6am & park in the road all day (as is the current status) will now simply do so along one side of the whole road. This will result in the east side of the road looking like one long line of parked vehicles 24/7 interspersed only by residents' driveways

Not only will this look unsightly, but it would be an “ accident ready to happen “ as sight lines for people entering & exiting their driveways and pedestrians crossing the road will be greatly affected by TMBC proposing to design in a health & safety issue . This proposal will make things far worse unless a decision is taken to **install double yellow lines on both sides of the road**

I eagerly await a reply and will be more than happy to attend a joint site visit should you wish to arrange one.

One side note of interest is the ineffective traffic calming measures currently in place in Lunsford Lane – the current humps are pretty ineffective unlike the ones along Castle Way that at least make vehicles slow to allow passing traffic through . Take a look and see what you think

Best

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
 Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
 Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
 Kings Hill, West Malling
 Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HX
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am ~~(in favour)~~ / **not in favour*** of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW THIS PROPOSAL CAME ABOUT? AS TO ME IT MAKES NO SENSE TO PUT YELLOW LINES ON THE SIDE OF THE ROAD THAT THE MAJORITY OF HOUSES HAVE <u>NO</u> DRIVES. THIS WILL RESULT IN THE FAMILIES WITH NO DRIVES WILL REGULARLY PARK ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE ROAD WHICH MAKE NO SENSE ESPECIALLY THE FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN	
Signed	Date

WILL HAVE TO CROSS A BUSY CONGESTED ROAD TO GET TO THEIR HOUSE!

ALSO LIVING IN A BUNGALOW WITH A SHARED DRIVE AND NARROW EXIT OFF THE DRIVE THERE WILL BE TOO MANY CARS PLUS THE VANS THAT ARE PARKED ON OUR SIDE EVERY DAY FROM 6AM TILL EVENING. OUR SITE LINE FOR GETTING OFF THE DRIVE WILL BE DANGEROUS.

I THEREFORE propose DOUBLE YELLOWS ON OUR SIDE (EVEN NUMBERS) OF THE ROAD.

ALSO I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THE ROAD HUMPS AND THE 20 MILE SPEED LIMIT HAS NO EFFECT ON DRIVERS USING THIS ROAD.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March
Date: 14 March 2025 14:01:52

Please let me know as soon as the consultation period has been completed & the result of the findings

Please don't do what a lots of people in authority do – in trying to resolve one issue they “ design in “ another one

Regards

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 March 2025 17:39
To: Parking Office <parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March

Following on from my previous email – please provide exact details as to what prompted the proposal and what the desired outcome was ?

I have just witnessed workmen who park their private cars outside of my house all day whilst jumping in a van and heading off – they have just returned .. tooted up .. waved their middle finger to each other and sped away at more than 20mph !

This is totally unacceptable but sadly a regular occurrence that Im sure you would not approve of if it took place outside your house every day !

Surely .. the most logical & sensible option is to INSTALL DOUBLE YELLOW LINES ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ROAD AND PROVIDE RESIDENTIAL PARKING FOR THOSE RESIDENTS WHO DO NOT HAVE DRIVEWAYS

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2025 1:30:45 PM
To: parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk <parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March

Dear Sir Madam

Can someone please provide the rationale behind the proposal to install parking restrictions on just one side of Lunsford Lane 357 – 391

I live at number [REDACTED] and **strongly object to this proposal** based upon the fact that every visitor to the nearby Leybourne Lake Country Park , resident visitors , family & friends & workman who offload materials around 6am & park in the road all day (as is the current status) will now simply do so along one side of the whole road. This will result in the east side of the road looking like one long line of parked vehicles 24/7 interspersed only by residents' driveways

Not only will this look unsightly, but it would be an “ accident ready to happen “ as sight lines for people entering & exiting their driveways and pedestrians crossing the road will be greatly affected by TMBC proposing to design in a health & safety issue . This proposal will make things far worse unless a decision is taken to **install double yellow lines on both sides of the road**

I eagerly await a reply and will be more than happy to attend a joint site visit should you wish to arrange one.

One side note of interest is the ineffective traffic calming measures currently in place in Lunsford Lane – the current humps are pretty ineffective unlike the ones along Castle Way that at least make vehicles slow to allow passing traffic through . Take a look and see what you think

Best

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: FW: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March
Date: 06 March 2025 17:39:38

Following on from my previous email – please provide exact details as to what prompted the proposal and what the desired outcome was ?

I have just witnessed workmen who park their private cars outside of my house all day whilst jumping in a van and heading off – they have just returned .. tooted up .. waved their middle finger to each other and sped away at more than 20mph !

This is totally unacceptable but sadly a regular occurrence that Im sure you would not approve of if it took place outside your house every day !

Surely .. the most logical & sensible option is to INSTALL DOUBLE YELLOW LINES ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ROAD AND PROVIDE RESIDENTIAL PARKING FOR THOSE RESIDENTS WHO DO NOT HAVE DRIVEWAYS

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 21, 2025 1:30:45 PM
To: parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk <parking.office@tmhc.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane Closing Date 16th March

Dear Sir Madam

Can someone please provide the rationale behind the proposal to install parking restrictions on just one side of Lunsford Lane 357 – 391

I live at number [REDACTED] and **strongly object to this proposal** based upon the fact that every visitor to the nearby Leybourne Lake Country Park , resident visitors , family & friends & workman who offload materials around 6am & park in the road all day (as is the current status) will now simply do so along one side of the whole road. This will result in the east side of the road looking like one long line of parked vehicles 24/7 interspersed only by residents' driveways

Not only will this look unsightly, but it would be an “ accident ready to happen “ as sight lines for people entering & exiting their driveways and pedestrians crossing the road will be greatly affected by TMBC proposing to design in a health & safety issue . This proposal will make things far worse unless a decision is taken to **install double yellow lines on both sides of the road**

I eagerly await a reply and will be more than happy to attend a joint site visit should you wish to arrange one.

One side note of interest is the ineffective traffic calming measures currently in place in Lunsford Lane – the current humps are pretty ineffective unlike the ones along Castle Way that at least make vehicles slow to allow passing traffic through . Take a look and see what you think

Best

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	
Address	LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20
Telephone	6HZ
Email	

I am (in favour / ~~not in favour~~)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
We would welcome this proposal, as we feel if something is not done, an accident is waiting to happen. Some days it can be highly dangerous with traffic parked both sides of the road, and on pavements, result in obstructive views both up and down the road, a minimum room for emergency services to get through.	
Signed	Date 23/2/25

Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
 Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
 Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
 Kings Hill, West Malling
 Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HY
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am **(in favour / not in favour)*** of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* **delete where not applicable**

Comments	
THIS IS A FANTASTIC PROPOSAL ITS ONLY A MATTER OF TIME BEFORE A VERY SERIOUS ACCIDENT OCCURS THE PARKING IS DISAPPEARING DOUBLE PARKING IS A NO NO ANYWAY SITE WHEN COMING OUT OF SPRINGFIELD ROAD IS OBSTRUCTED AT ALL TIMES DUE TO WHITE VAN PARKING DUE TO WORKERS GETTING BOXED UP IN THE MORNINGS - I HOPE THE PLAN GOES THROUGH	
Signed	Date 24.2.25

From: [Customer Services](#)
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane
Date: 22 February 2025 10:33:45

Dear Team

Please see below and respond accordingly to [REDACTED]

Many thanks

Regards
Stephen
Customer Service Advisor
Tonbridge and Malling Borough Council

Open an account to pay bills, report an issue or make an application
Download the My TMBC app for updates on key services
Follow us on Twitter, Facebook and Instagram for the latest news

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: 21 February 2025 17:04
To: Customer Services <customer.services@tmbc.gov.uk>
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield Lunsford Lane

From [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Lunsford Lane
Larkfield ME20 6HX

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal to limit parking to one side of Lunsford Lane.

I am fully in agreement with this proposal which should improve traffic flow.

Under this proposal parking would be permitted on our side of the road. Currently the parking takes place on both sides of the road with most vehicles being partially obstructing the footpath. Obviating this would benefit pedestrians.

One current problem is that vehicles frequently park very close to our shared pavement crossing and entrance to numbers 382 and 384. This makes exiting our property difficult and dangerous as views are limited. We would ask that consideration is given to marking the entrance (and others) to prevent vehicles parking too close to the entrance. I understand that this is done elsewhere.

Another point relates to traffic speed. Improvement to traffic flow is likely to increase traffic speed. Many vehicles totally ignore the 20 mph speed limit despite speed cushions. Can the speed limit please be enforced? Elderly and vulnerable people live on this road.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HY
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am **(in favour / ~~not in favour~~)*** of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* *delete where not applicable*

Comments	
<p>ALTHOUGH WE ARE IN FAVOUR OF THE CHANGES TO ON-STREET PARKING IN PRINCIPAL, WE WOULD LIKE TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO OTHER ISSUES IN THIS PART OF LUNSFORD LANE.</p> <p><u>PLEASE SEE THE SEPARATE STREET ATTACHMENT.</u></p>	
Signed	<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="flex: 1;">[REDACTED]</div> <div style="flex: 1;">Date 5/3/2025</div> </div>

Ref: DD607-10 Larkfield – Lunsford Lane Parking

Although we are in favour of the changes to the on-street parking in principal, we would like to draw your attention to other issues in Lunsford Lane that should be addressed first.

There is a 20mph speed limit, and hardly anyone does that speed in that part of Lunsford Lane. The traffic calming bumps do not deter people from speeding.

There is still an issue outside our house [REDACTED] in the road with flooding. Although it is not as bad, if we have a torrential downpour it still floods and the drains do not cope.

The parking on one side of the road, or further up the road, would also cause more cars to park on that one side.

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HZ
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am (in favour / ~~not in favour~~)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
COULD YOU PLEASE TRY AND COME UP FURTHER WITH YOUR DOUBLE YELLOW LINES. I GET MANY CARS PARKING OUTSIDE MY HOUSE AND I CANNOT SEE THE ROAD CLEARLY ENOUGH FOR ME TO PULL OUT FROM MY DRIVE. THANK YOU	
Signe	Date 20/2/2025

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED] LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HZ
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am **(in favour / ~~not in favour~~)*** of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
<p>The sooner the better! The parked vehicles on 391 Frontage have never belonged to [REDACTED] address or visitors to, because [REDACTED] drive ways at Brook Road side</p>	
Signed	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>[REDACTED]</div> <div>Date 21.2.25</div> </div>

The parked vehicles obliterate the view when turning out of Brook Road and pedestrians view when crossing the road. It also leaves a very narrow passing space for cars.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: DD607-10 Larkfield-Lunsford Lane
Date: 24 February 2025 11:49:50

The Parking Team

I would like to confirm that I am in favour of the proposed parking arrangements suggested in this consultation.

My contact details are:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Lunsford Lane

Larkfield

Aylesford

Kent

ME20 6HZ

Home Tel. [REDACTED]

Mobile Tel. [REDACTED]

Best regards

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HX
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

I am (in favour / ~~not in favour~~)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
I AM IN FAVOR OF THE <u>YELLOW</u> LINES. <u>BUT</u> ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE ROAD.	
Sign	Date 21.2.25

Key

- Existing "No waiting at any time" (double yellow lines)
- Proposed "No waiting at any time" (double yellow lines)
- Existing "Bus Stop Clearway"



Revision	Date
TONBRIDGE & MALLING BOROUGH COUNCIL  STREETSCENE, LEISURE & TECHNICAL SERVICES	
Parking Team Gibson Building Gibson Drive Kings Hill West Malling Kent ME19 4LZ Tel: 01732 844522	
Project Title Parking Action Plan Phase 15 Informal consultation	
Drawing Title Larkfield Lunsford Lane	
Drawn ACB	Checked AE
Date 09/2024	
Scale 1 : 1000 @ A4	
Drawing No. DD/607/10	Rev -

**Informal Consultation
New & Amended Parking Restrictions
Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill, West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting reference DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane.

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/parkingphases or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th March 2024.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	[REDACTED]
Address	LUNSFORD LANE LARKFIELD AYLESFORD KENT ME20 6HX
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

UNDECIDED

I am (~~in favour~~ / **not in favour**)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Lunsford Lane, Larkfield, Aylesford shown on plan DD607-10 Larkfield - Lunsford Lane

Perhaps both sides should be yellow lined!! *delete where not applicable

Comments	
Whilst technically in favour of the yellow lines I can foresee more problems for us on the even numbered side of the road. Some of those on the odd numbered side of the road already park on our side of the road. I constantly have vehicles parked either side of my dropped kerb which restricts my view of traffic both ways when I leave my drive. If the vehicles that presently park on the odd side have to move across to the even side this will restrict our view even further.	
Signed	Date 01/03/2025

It should also be noted that due to most properties on the even side have dropped kerbs the amount of parking spaces is very limited. It will also not discourage parking on the pavement on the even side as those who park on the even side already park on the pavement. It just means more cars will be on our side of the pavement

