

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 293

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

- there isn't a traffic parking problem in Hildenborough
- disruption from school traffic is twice daily during the week but temporary and serves to slow down traffic at a time critical to children's safety

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 311

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

- 1) As a Leigh Road resident for almost 18 years I have never been aware of a parking issue necessitating intervention
- 2) While the area around Stocks Green school is busy at drop-off at pick up times, this is standard for schools everywhere, is for a short period of time and has never caused an issue
- 3) A degree of parked cars can be beneficial in slowing the traffic that does speed down the road from time to time
- 4) The road is not well served by public transport and a lack of pavements means there is little alternative for those visiting or dropping their children at school.
- 5) Restricting parking in an area where restrictions are not needed will bump the problem on to other roads where it may cause a legitimate issue
- 6) We are constantly hearing about the funding gap for local councils at the moment - I don't understand how this unnecessary expenditure is a priority at this time

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 326

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

1. The parked cars on Leigh Road are there for a maximum of 30 minutes, twice a day during School Term time. The road is not used for commuter parking as it is too far away from the station to walk therefore yellow lines are not required here.
2. Displacement parking will be caused.
3. The parked cars force the traffic to slow down. If the parked cars aren't there, cars will naturally increase their speed and during school drop offs and pickups when it is most important that cars are travelling at a lower speed to protect children from harm.
4. The impact on those with disabilities and young children. Families will be forced to park further away and walk for longer journeys with young children. Not all disabilities allow a blue badge but some are required to park close to the school to ensure their safety and wellbeing. Having yellow lines and restrictive parking will have an impact on these people and disproportionately force them to park further away.

5. Although there is a staff car park this is for the use of the school only. There is also a Pre-School on both sites who do not have access to the car park and have to drive to their place of work. Yellow lines and restrictive parking would impact on them. Where are they expected to park?

6. I am a regular user of the park at West Wood. On sunny days the park can be very popular and as I do not live in walking distance I have to drive there. If there are yellow lines put on Leigh Road this will prevent us from using the park and being able to be in the open which benefits our physical and mental wellbeing.

There is also very limited crash data to back up extra restrictions.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 430

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

A worse plan is possible - it's just close to impossible to imagine what it would look like.

There is absolutely no justification for double yellow lines. Where will visitors to anyone on Leigh Road park. Where will parents park to drop or collect kids from school.

Can you imagine the chaos that will ensue in Fellowes way if you go through with this plan.

Parking is not an issue on Leigh Road - never has been in the 15 years I've lived there.

Much like my response in 2023, leave it alone! If you've got some spare cash, there are some sensible things you could spend it on rather than wasting money on consultations and parking restrictions that no one wants.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 253

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Addendum to my initial response; clearly no consideration has been given to the impact upon Wilson Close and Fellows Way (not Road) see correspondence below

RE: Hildenborough Parking Review web page - PRK001915

Matthew Osborne<Matthew.Osborne@tmhc.gov.uk>

Dear XXXXXX

As previously mentioned, while the surrounding area may experience some indirect impact, the restrictions are specifically targeted at the junction. The consultation is the process through which we gather responses from the public, assess the need for restrictions, and report our findings to members. Ultimately, it is our members who will make the final decision, based on feedback, recommendations, and, in this case, The Highway Code (Rule 243).

Please note that safety concerns at locations like this fall under the responsibility of Kent County Council, as the highway authority. TMBC's role is to implement parking restrictions in areas that will help prevent parking in potentially dangerous locations.

At this stage, we are compiling data for reporting to our members and the Joint Transportation Board, and I am unable to supply any further details at this time. However, you can keep updated through our website as the consultation progresses, and any relevant updates will be posted there

Kind regards,

Matthew Osborne

Parking Officer

Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council

05 February 2025 09:13

To: Matthew Osborne <Matthew.Osborne@tmbc.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: Hildenborough Parking Review web page - PRK001915

Dear Matthew Thank you for your reply : i have responded to the consultation however whilst I appreciate and understand your comment about house frontages I have asked to see the impact assessment on Fellowes Way which is the only access to Wilson Close where I live. Are you saying that the fact that my house doesn't front onto the frontage of Leigh Road that it wont be affected . It is entirely obvious that if the proposed yellow lines are put in all the areas surrounding the school, that Fellowes Way the only cul de sac entrance to Wilson Close, and the first and only left turn off Leigh Road will bear the most significant traffic increase. It is a one way in one way out road where if cars are parked on only one side becomes difficult to exit and enter and it will become the road parents pushed off Leigh Road will access to stop or park making it impassible and inaccessible to residents at drop off pick up and when there are long events at the school this is unacceptable.

Please advise How many traffic surveys of the junction of Fellowes Way and Wilson Close have been done and at what times? What impact assessment had been done and how will TMBC/KCC ensure safe access for residents on this very small Cul de Sac area

I look forward to receiving this information. Kind regards

XXXXXXX

5 Feb 2025, at 08:53, Matthew Osborne <Matthew.Osborne@tmbc.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear XXXXXX,

Thank you for your email.

I have checked the plans currently under public consultation, and I can confirm that they are accurate. Regarding the proposed DYL restrictions on Fellowes Road, These do not need to be included as a separate plan but should simply be mentioned. This is in line with the Highway Code, which requires no parking within 15 meters of a junction.

Additionally, I would like to confirm that the house frontages are the only properties being directly contacted, as these restrictions primarily affect certain residents. While the surrounding area may experience some indirect impact, the restrictions are specifically targeted at the properties adjacent to the junction, where parking may cause a greater obstruction or safety concern when entering and exiting this location. ...

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 477

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Apart from restriction not to park on the bend into Stock Green Road, there is no need for all the other restriction. The area is busy for half hour at start and half hour at close of the school Mon-Frid but the majority part of the day and weekends it's fine.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 296

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

As a parent who drops off and picks up my children by car from Stocks Green Primary School each day these changes would make doing so very difficult. The park cars are only there at drop off and pick up times and help to slow down traffic at these busy times.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 456

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

As a Leigh Road resident and parent of a Stocks Green School, I am writing to strongly object to the proposed parking restrictions on Leigh Road, Hildenborough for the following reasons:

- 1) Cars park for approx 20 minutes around drop off/pickup during Term time and it really is only a short duration for this purpose. Other than around the Stocks Green junction (which I agree does need double yellow line control) the other parking is not a problem for the short duration it is required for.
- 2) I have never seen any commuter parking on Leigh Road. Anyone would be stupid to attempt that dangerous walk along the fast Stocks Green Road to the station! Commuters do not park here, so double yellow lines are not required for this purpose.
- 3) Residents made the choice to live near a school knowing that twice a day there would be an increase in parking/traffic. It is to be expected and only affects such a small proportion of the day. At all other times, residents can use the Road to park freely for their own purpose and for their visitors too.
- 4) It would cause a much bigger issue to residents if permits were needed or there was nowhere to park. Not all houses have their own driveway parking, visitors would be forced away. I am mostly at home with a young child, so I have regular visitors and I see the traffic movement throughout the day. The parked cars come and go all day along this Road, delivery drivers are always stopping, there is never a problem.
- 5) Yellow lines and restrictions would mean cars will be forced to park further away from the school, where there is an increase in drive ways in the other local roads, thus causing more dangerous/obstructive parking but just further afield. There would also be an impact on those with disabilities, young children and pregnant women. Families will be forced to park further away and walk for longer journeys with young children. Not all disabilities allow a blue badge but some are required to park close to the school to ensure their safety and wellbeing.
- 6) The Westwood Park doesn't have a designated car park. Yellow lines put on Leigh Road will prevent those people who need to drive to the area, from using the park. This will impact on children's physical and mental wellbeing.

7) With the current cost of living crisis, the financial impact of permits will be overbearing. Where are the figures that justify and prove that the residents are being harmed by the current lack of restrictions? Having spoken to many Leigh Road/Stocks Green Road residents...noone appears to have an issue. Where have TMBC obtained information from to suggest the contrary?

8) Parked cars provide a form of traffic calming and slow down the traffic. If there were less cars parked, this will ultimately speed up the traffic driving along Leigh Road and especially outside the school.

9) I feel you are spending money on something that doesn't need money spending on it, as there isn't a problem in all areas of proposed lines. You will be creating a problem by implementing these changes and then pushing a problem further afield to other local roads and residents.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 302

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

As a parent at Stocks Green primary I am very concerned that the proposals of double yellow lines on Leigh road will pose a safety risk around the school. Parked cars slow down passing traffic rather than it being clear and traffic passing through at speed.

This proposal will also push parking onto other residential surrounding roads

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 16

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

As an [REDACTED] and a parent with three young children at Stocks Green Primary school I am particularly concerned about the safety of pupils. Too many cars and large lorries use Leigh Road and drive well in excess of the speed limit. In my opinion the on street parking actually slows the traffic down and makes it much safer. This is particularly important as there are no traffic lights, zebra crossings or lollipop provisions. I accept that there can be dangerous parking on the road but existing restrictions if properly monitored and enforced would be more than sufficient to resolve this.

In addition many parents travel a significant distance to school (in excess of a mile) despite it being their allocated school (often not first or second choice). This is a significant distance for a 4 year old to walk. There should not be an expectation that all children could walk to school for a variety of reasons including SEND, age, distance and lack of public transport at school drop offs / pick ups.

There is already very limited parking near the school and there are several dangerous roads which may have to be used as alternative parking - Stocks Green Road, Leigh Road beyond the railway line towards Leigh and Tonbridge Road. I believe that the restrictions proposed will actually push parents to park in more dangerous locations on these roads. In addition many of the alternative roads are quite narrow leading to on pavement parking.

Parents should have a right to be able to take their children to school without undue inconvenience (especially when many parents then commute to London) and without undue concern for their children's safety.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 469

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

As previously responded, terrible idea which will push parking to neighbouring streets and leave Leigh Road clear so people will drive even faster, putting more children at risk crossing the road. Unfortunately, the speed bumps are inadequate and do not slow traffic. The parked cars help to reduce speeding. Removal of parking is therefore likely to result in more speeding and ultimately accidents.

In addition to other proposals:

- there isn't a traffic parking problem in Hildenborough. By restricting parking will mean less parking overall, which at times is very busy, and so some people will be unable to use services/village hall or businesses. This will particularly affect those with mobility issues and/or parents with young children. Businesses will be affected and potentially no longer be viable.
- noble tree road : we need to be encouraging commuters to use the trains and free or cheap parking in the surrounding area helps
- buses are infrequent and costly and there is inadequate dedicated cycle lanes in the village.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 408

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Complete waste of public money

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 358

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

empty residential roads speed up through traffic = dangerous around the schools

disruption from school traffic is twice daily during the week but temporary and serves to slow down traffic at a time critical to children's safety

Pre-school staff need a place to park during the day - it's hard enough to attract good staff

School traffic will just get shunted into Brookmead or Fellowes Way causing more disruption

The junction double yellow lines with Tonbridge road and stocks green road should remain as current.

For those who park inappropriately (eg up on the grass verge between stocks green road and brookmead) it would be most effective to just have a warden timed to appear at 8.40am when people are in the school dropping off. It's the same people flouting this and being inconsiderate.

It does discriminate against women for those who work and need to go in to station or drive to work. If they have to spend an extra 10 minutes finding a space it will disrupt their onward travel

We need an active transport plan in place too with a walking bus and cycle bus encouraged to reduce short journeys by car.

I live on this stretch and don't want visitors struggling to park when regulation isn't necessary.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 433

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Having double yellow lines on both sides of the road near the B245 junction could actually have an adverse affect of traffic passing faster, and causing more of a hazard to school children crossing the road. It would make sense to have double yellow lines on one side only.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 115

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I am a disabled resident who has lived on Wilson Close for 23 years. Wilson Close is solely accessed from Fellowes Way and is not even mentioned in your proposals; I STRONGLY OBJECT to your proposed parking measures in Leigh Rd North and Stocks Green Road East and can see no benefit in changing the current road markings for the following reasons:

1. The addition of the proposed yellow line parking restrictions on Leigh Road North/Stocks Green Road East Map will simply push more traffic onto the small cul de Sac roads of Fellowes Way, the junction with Wilson Close and Wilson Close itself. This is NOT ACCEPTABLE. Parents that would otherwise 'drop their children and drive on' on Stocks Green Road will now need to park or turn into Fellowes Way, drop their children, turn around and leave, this will inevitably happen and block

both sides of Fellowes Way and block the junction to Wilson Close and make the junction incredibly dangerous.

2. Access to Wilson Close, including my own driveway is already frequently restricted by inconsiderate parking. Yellow lines on Stocks Green Road will reduce available parking there and lead to an increase in parking on Fellowes Way and Wilson Close, increasing risks for children and residents.

3. Fellowes Way and Wilson Close is already busy and dangerous at school pick up/ drop off time, and when there are seasonal events at the school, for residents and children walking to school especially where Wilson Close joins Fellowes Way and parents 'turn around' in the junction. Yellow lines and parking restrictions on Leigh Road will simply force the displaced traffic onto a very small, single access housing estate. Additionally at these specific school times and particularly in good weather parents congregate and chat after dropping children off and drop off is often not a 'quick process'.

4. From a residents perspective, Vehicles delivering goods and emergency vehicles would be unable to access any houses on Wilson Close of which there are 22 during busy school times.

5. Congestion on Fellowes Way and Wilson Close, where stopping between driveways is already limited, will become more chaotic, with drivers inevitably getting frustrated that their child will be late for school as there is nowhere to stop, traffic will be trying to squeeze through parked vehicles as cars that have dropped off turn around to leave the small estate roads and parking will inevitably be on both sides of Fellowes Way and Wilson Close. This is all so obvious that it beggars belief that the needs of residents on Wilson Close have not even been considered and impacts not considered. As with the informal consultation I discovered these proposals and consultation by chance and have not been contacted as a resident directly affected by the proposals.

Currently, whilst it is busy at drop off times there is usually parking on only one side of Fellowes way and it is just navigable, but crucially accessible for emergency vehicles.

Further cars being forced to park/access Fellowes Way for drop off will inevitably lead to Wilson Close becoming inaccessible at key times. How will the proposers of this scheme guarantee safe access to my property at all times and safe access for emergency vehicles?

Current parking issues are not enforceable (as was proven when a car parked overnight across my drive) I spoke to one of your team and gave all of the necessary information and was told they could do nothing; So the I STRONGLY OBJECT to this proposal- who is going to police the parking on Fellowes Way and Wilson Close beyond the proposed yellow lines? how are you going to stop parents forced off Stocks Green Road from parking on the junction of Fellowes Way and Wilson Close - both sides? which will be dangerous and lead to further access problems.

When are residents wishes and needs going to be considered?? I have lived here for 23 years and this just feels like another vanity project for which there is no demand or need.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 294

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I disagree with the parking review in Hildenborough, particularly regarding the roads around the schools. As a working parent with three children—two at Stocks Green Primary and one attending a childminder and preschool—I am directly affected by the proposed changes.

Living further from the school, I rely on driving and parking on local roads like those around Stocks Green Primary. The proposed changes to Leigh Road will significantly hinder parents' ability to drop off their children safely, quickly and efficiently.

Disruption caused by school traffic occurs only twice a day. From my observations, most parking issues stem from inconsiderate drivers. The addition of yellow lines will simply push traffic further onto smaller roads like Fellowes Way. These narrower streets are not equipped to handle the extra traffic and cannot accommodate both parked cars and passing vehicles, which could obstruct emergency vehicles and deliveries. Parking on Leigh Road slows down traffic during school times, but it also contributes to safety by forcing drivers to navigate around parked cars, creating a safer, slower flow of traffic for children. Additionally, I believe that installing a crossing or even a zebra crossing would improve child safety, as this has not been adequately discussed or considered. Yellow lines should be a last resort.

The designated parking spaces outside the school are often taken by staff, leaving parents with no choice but to park on Leigh Road and nearby streets, adding strain to everyone.

After speaking with several local residents, it's clear that many of us agree the yellow lines are unnecessary. The brief periods of traffic congestion during school runs were anticipated when we bought our homes. While the traffic isn't ideal, introducing yellow lines will have a knock-on effect, particularly for parking and visitors to these homes.

Moreover, I noticed that my feedback from the June review—along with responses from many others—was not included in the online documentation. I am also aware that many residents on Leigh Road had their responses overlooked.

The proposed changes would disproportionately affect parents, especially those who need to drive to work or live too far to walk with their children every day. This change will create additional challenges for those of us already balancing work and family life.

Where are parents who have to drive their children to school meant to park—close by, safely, and without causing further obstruction to the community?

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 295

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I disagree with the parking review in Hildenborough, particularly regarding the roads around the schools. As a working parent with three children—two at Stocks Green Primary and one attending a childminder and preschool—I am directly affected by the proposed changes.

Living further from the school, I rely on driving and parking on local roads like those around Stocks Green Primary. The proposed changes to Leigh Road will significantly hinder parents' ability to drop off their children safely, quickly and efficiently.

Disruption caused by school traffic occurs only twice a day. From my observations, most parking issues stem from inconsiderate drivers. The addition of yellow lines will simply push traffic further onto smaller roads like Fellows Way. These narrower streets are not equipped to handle the extra traffic and cannot accommodate both parked cars and passing vehicles, which could obstruct emergency vehicles and deliveries. Parking on Leigh Road slows down traffic during school times, but it also contributes to safety by forcing drivers to navigate around parked cars, creating a safer, slower flow of traffic for children. Additionally, I believe that installing a crossing or even a zebra crossing would improve child safety, as this has not been adequately discussed or considered. Yellow lines should be a last resort.

The designated parking spaces outside the school are often taken by staff, leaving parents with no choice but to park on Leigh Road and nearby streets, adding strain to everyone.

After speaking with several local residents, it's clear that many of us agree the yellow lines are unnecessary. The brief periods of traffic congestion during school runs were anticipated when we bought our homes. While the traffic isn't ideal, introducing yellow lines will have a knock-on effect, particularly for parking and visitors to these homes.

Moreover, I noticed that my feedback from the June review—along with responses from many others—was not included in the online documentation. I am also aware that many residents on Leigh Road had their responses overlooked.

The proposed changes would disproportionately affect parents, especially those who need to drive to work or live too far to walk with their children every day. This change will create additional challenges for those of us already balancing work and family life.

Where are parents who have to drive their children to school meant to park—close by, safely, and without causing further obstruction to the community?

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 298

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I have previously campaigned for changes to take place on Leigh Road to make it a safer place for children to make their way to and from school. In 2013 my son narrowly missed being hit by a van that was travelling along the pavement outside my house at 8.45am as I walked to school with my 3 children. I raised this issue with a number of local bodies and my cause was picked up by Valerie Dagger, the then councillor. She came to my house one morning and did the school run with me, witnessing how hugely dangerous it was, with no safe place to cross, HGVs passing through and no speed restrictions, traffic calming or school crossing. As a result, she used some of her budget to have the traffic calming measures put in place (the pinch point outside my house) and also to have 'tables' installed, which unfortunately were always very small and therefore ineffective. These measures really improved the situation on Leigh Road.

I am someone who believes very strongly in the need to make Leigh Road better and safer. Saying this I do NOT believe that double yellow lines will make Leigh Road safer for vulnerable road users.

Parked cars on the road opposite my house slow down the flow of traffic at school times. No parked cars will mean that cars will be able to travel faster along that stretch of road. I never view parking there as a problem, and for the vast majority of the time, there are no cars parked there at all.

As a resident, I don't see where visitors to my house will park, and don't understand why they should have to walk long distances to park when there is ample room for parking and the road is empty for 95% of the time.

If you want to make the road safer for vulnerable users you should do these 3 things:

- Reduce the speed limit. Every school in Sevenoaks and Tonbridge seems to have 20mph limits around them, but here the speed limit is 30mph.
- Change the usage of the road so that it is no longer a HGV route. It doesn't matter what is happening with road markings, it is highly unsafe for children to be dodging large HGVs as they cross the road to get to school.
- Reinstate the tables in the road with additional height and make them red as they were always meant to be, as this will slow down the traffic.

Double yellow lines are not the solution. There is not a parking problem. There is a safety problem that can be dealt with better using other methods.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 321

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I object to the parking proposal in Leigh Road.

1. The parked cars on Leigh Road, Hildenborough are there for a maximum of 30 minutes, twice a day during School Term time. The road is not used for commuter parking as it is too far away from the station to walk therefore yellow lines are not required here.

2. Displacement parking will be caused. Cars will then be forced to park further away from the school where there is an increase in drive ways in the other local roads and further into Brookmead or even Byrneside. This would cause a nuisance for those residents and could result in more dangerous and obstructive parking.

3. The parked cars by their very nature of being parked there, force the traffic to slow down. If the parked cars aren't there, cars will naturally increase their speed and during school drop offs and pickups when it is most important that cars are travelling at a lower speed to protect children from harm.

4. The impact on those with disabilities and young children, pregnant women! – Families will be forced to park further away and walk for longer journeys with young children. Not all disabilities allow a blue badge but some are required to park close to the school to ensure their safety and wellbeing. Having yellow lines and restrictive parking will have a negative impact on these people and disproportionately force them to park further away.

5. Not everyone lives close enough to walk to school. Some parents, I included, have to drive my children to school before having to drive onto work. I have no option but to park by the school. The enforcement of yellow lines would cause more chaotic parking. Additional yellow lines and restrictive hours of parking would have an impact on many working parents by adding on extra time for their journeys.

6. Although there is a staff car park this is for the use of the school only. There is also a Pre-School on both sites who do not have access to the car park and have to drive to their place of work. Yellow lines and restrictive parking would impact on them. Where are they expected to park?

7. I am a regular user of the park at West Wood. On sunny days the park can be very popular and as I do not live in walking distance I have to drive there. If there are yellow lines put on Leigh Road this will prevent us from using the park and being able to be in the open which benefits our physical and mental wellbeing.

9. It is money making scheme solely for TMBC. There is no consideration for the impact this will have on the users of the school and the residents who live there. The residents will be forced to suddenly have to pay money to have friends over during the evenings and weekends. What about the financial impact on them? Where are the figures to justify and prove that residents are being harmed by the lack of current restrictions.

There is also very limited crash data to back up extra restrictions.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 301

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I object to the plan for double yellow lines along both sides of Leigh Road (north).

I have two children at Stocks Green Primary School and I have to drive to drop them at school so I can then get to work on time.

The parking for the school run is inconvenient for local residents but it is only for 10-15 minutes twice a day. I feel that double yellow lines would disproportionately effect me as a woman trying to get my kids to school on time and myself to work on time.

Residents of the street would lose out on parking for their visitors throughout the day.

It would also impact on the streets nearby without double yellow lines because they would then get congested.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 439

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

I object to the proposals for additional no waiting at any time restrictions. There is no issue with on street parking that needs to be addressed. Whilst it is congested at school drop off and pick up times this is temporary and serves to slow down traffic at a time critical to children's safety. With no or reduced on street parking, the speed of drivers will increase and this is dangerous.

Additional parking restriction as proposed will severely impact parents of Stocks Green School, particularly working parents who need to drop or or pick up on route to work or the station. It would easily add on an additional 20-30 minutes to find a space to park, when others are doing the same, walk children to the school and return to the vehicle to continue with the commute. Have you assessed to impact on working mothers in particular?

Parking will be pushed on to other roads such as Fellows Way. The detrimental impact and significant impact on Hildenborough residents is unjustified; there is no benefit to resident. Leigh Road residents will have difficulty having deliveries or visitors.

There is no adequate or regular public transport to Hildenborough station that can be used to offset driving.

I objected to the previous consultation but my comments were not reflected.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 200

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

If you want local children to walk to school, the council need to ensure the pavements on the walk to school are well maintained and safe. The footpath parallel to the B245 between Leigh Road North and Fir Tree Close are covered in wet slippery leaves, particularly on the pedestrian part. It is dangerous. Trying to push a buggy along said path is almost impossible, as the leaves tangle around the buggy wheels. The council clear these leaves once per year. They need sweeping every month, and kept in optimal condition. Maybe then local children may choose to walk rather than be dropped by car.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 454

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Lines, permits and restrictions will have a negative impact, physically and financially on residents, school users and visitors. The school poses an increase in traffic for just two short periods per day. The rest of the day, the Road can be used by residents and visitors alike without issue.

I have no objection to yellow lines being placed on dangerous junctions (Stocks Green Road being the main one) but other yellow lines, permits and restrictive hours will have a harmful impact to residents and parents/users of the school.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 245

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

My children go to Stocks Green Primary school and I'm reliant on being able to drive to school to drop off/pick up my children. The impact of these proposals and parking restrictions around the school would mean that I would have to reduce my working hours in my employment to allow for additional time to walk my children to school, walk home and then drive to the train station to travel to work. I'm the sole breadwinner in my house and the accumulation would mean losing 10 hours of work per week.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 425

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

My only option to get my daughter into school is to drive and I would have no where to park.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 116

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

My specific objection to the plans relate to the proposed 'No waiting, Mon-Fri 9.30-11.30am' outside Stocks Green School, denoted by a pale blue line on the map detailing the proposed changes.

As a long term resident here, where both of my children went to Stocks Green School, I am very familiar with the traffic issues. Imposing parking restrictions at that time, in that place, penalises residents and does nothing to help ease school congestion. I'm assuming preventing dangerous parking and driving is the key concern here but I cannot see how this part of the proposal helps in any way. The only dangerous and obstructive parking occurs at school drop off and pick up time. Traffic flows well outside of that time and parking is not a problem outside of this time.

By not restricting parking between 8.15 and 9.15am the same parking issues will persist. Namely congestion caused by frequent manoeuvres into and out of parking spaces during drop off. This occurs right outside the school where children are trying to cross the road. In addition, the obstruction this causes means that cars coming from the opposite direction (from the Leigh direction) routinely mount the pavement to get around the congestion. Again this is at a time where children are trying to safely use the pavement to get to school (permanent bollards should be installed here to protect children). The congestion and inevitable hold ups also encourage parents to drop off children while they are waiting in the road, or to 'just quickly' stop on the zig zags as it's so chaotic anyway they seem to forget that this is illegal.

By proposing that the restriction takes place between 9.30 and 11.30am seems bizarre and totally illogical. The residents parking are not the problem. So, long after all the school traffic has gone, which is what causes all of the issues, then restrictions should come into force? What should residents do if they have small driveways or no driveway? What about residents who have visitors, deliveries, or who have trades people visiting? This plan means that they must be aware of the restrictions every single day and may not be able to park their own car on the road outside their house, even though you are allowing the dangerous,

obstructive, congestion-causing school traffic to park there unrestricted! There is no benefit whatsoever in imposing this time restriction. This is a B road in a residential area where residents should be able to park in unrestricted residential parking.

Nothing in this part of this proposal will solve the key issue, that is easing the obstruction, congestion and dangerous driving at school times. All it achieves is causing a major inconvenience to all residents.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 441

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No where to park as it is and safely get children into school.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 344

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Not enough other parking around so will block up side streets during school times.

Traffic will end up going faster during school time as clearer roads.

Parents dropping of children will have to factor in additional walking time and commutes to work.

School traffic disruption is minimal twice a day term time only.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 51

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

On a personal level, putting double yellow lines across my driveway may deter the infrequent (2 times per year) short term occurrence and help traffic flow in line with your objectives, but it would criminalise my guests who park at my house. Please make them 8-9 3-4, not all time.

My biggest worry is the safety of children walking on the pavement and crossing the road. When the road is in gridlock (because too many people are trying to drive down it, and don't think ahead to let cars free up space) the number of cars who think they help by mounting the pavements is indangering the children walking alongside. I would like to see enforcement for those who park on the existing zigzag lines or across driveways (i've seen one enforcement officer in all the 5 years of doing school drop offs) and an extension of bollards from Fellows way to Stocks Green school. I do not want yellow lines everywhere, unless for 8-9am 3-4pm, otherwise it restricts my own choice of use of my driveway.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 199

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Our property has NO OFF ROAD PARKING. This proposal leaves our property with NO ON-ROAD PARKING near our house during the day. Where do you suggest we park our car? Your proposal would have a massive impact on our life and would make it impossible to sell our property. Why has no consideration been given to residents?

As has been shown over the many years we have lived here, parents dropping children at Stocks Green School cause the traffic problems and dangerous conditions. They ignore current parking restrictions, they will continue to ignore any future restrictions introduced. The school has plenty of green space, the introduction of a drop off area on school grounds is the only solution to that problem.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 248

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Residents who do not have any off-road parking will be left with no on-road parking during the day.

More restrictions to parking will increase the speed of traffic along Leigh Road and increase HGV traffic past the primary school. This will increase the risk to children and elderly residents.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 429

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

School start and finish times can vary between 7.45am to 6pm because of before and after school clubs

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 309

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Some parents have to drop more than one child at different locations and go to work, so having to park further away from the school will make the whole process longer. Which in turns means some people may be more likely to speed and make the road more dangerous for others (drivers/ pedestrians/residents). The road is only busy for 15 minutes in the morning and afternoon. Some residents may also want to park on the road when they have visitors/ work done etc.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 265

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

The 2 hour restriction outside Stocks Green School will mean school staff will not be able to park for work. There is not enough parking within the school for all the staff.

A double yellow line leading all the way up to the B245 junction will reduce parking and encourage parent parking in Fellowes Way. A reduced yellow line adjacent to the pinch point, to prevent parking there, would be much more sensible.

The school does encourage parents to bring their children by other means but many parents have to come by car to go onto work which is good for the country's economy.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 366

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

The current parking allowances on the road around the school are dangerous. You cannot see down the road and there are often accidents where the traffic becomes single lane due to parked cars. It is also difficult to see where children are going to step out of the road. I would be supportive of limiting the parking

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 372

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

The parking around the school is already bad enough meaning more people will park recklessly and endanger others.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 43

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

The person who has redesigned the area outside stocks green school in leigh road does not have any understanding of how it is used currently. Where are parents supposed to park? This is ridiculous. It will just move the problem elsewhere with insufficient space. A proper understanding is needed and alternative plan needs to be addressed.

It seems like the proposal is to give the fast Lorries a faster race track outside the school creating more of a risk to school children crossing. What an ill thought-out plan. Cars are going fast down there already when there is not school traffic - and will not take care to pass - parking has the effect of slowing traffic down.

Perhaps you should also consider a zebra crossing. And parking for all the parents who have to travel.

Where are you expecting us to park? We already leave 25 minutes to get to school as parking is very difficult. We will be forced to park in more dangerous positions - perhaps down the b245 or towards the station where there are no street lights or speed limit restrictions. An odd position to force parents with young children to take. The pavement is very thin down stocks green road and children don't have sufficient space to walk next to a parent.

How is lack of parking helping working parents drop their child off? Where will you install a car park to compensate for the lack of on street parking? Perhaps compulsory purchase will need to be explored.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 50

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

The plans for both Leigh road, North and Leigh road South are ill considered and provide no tangible parking for parents dropping off children outside of walking distance for which there are many and will simply exacerbate the parking problems in the adjoining fellows way.

The traffic/ parking from use dropping and picking up the school exists for at most 15 minutes morning and evening so feels like it's looking to solve a problem that doesn't really exist.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 437

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

The proposals are incredibly ill thought out. Excluding any parking anywhere near the school for drop offs and pickups. Parents are already having to work agilely to manage school drop offs and pickups around work, parents have to work!! This move appears to just be to boost the potential ticketing profit for the local authority, and make the conditions for kids more unsafe. Parents having to park randomly further and further away, so walking back to school on unknown routes, with vehicles circling back and forth trying to find somewhere to stop. Safely walking to school is not an option for us, so this proposal is excluding to many students and families in the same situation. As well as ones who can walk to school but have to include school drop offs as part of their journeys too and from work. These proposals seem extreme overkill for a relatively minor issue that manages itself most of the time. This proposal doesn't stand up to any real scrutiny.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 204

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

The proposed double yellow lines are not required on both sides of the road. Please continue to allow vehicles to park on the school side of the road. This helps to slow the traffic down. As a parent with a child at the school I have seen that when the road has no vehicles parked on it the speed of the traffic greatly increases and IS a danger to the children. Unless the speed limit is also reduced with a camera to enforce the speed restriction this proposed change will endanger the children. Putting the double yellow lines on only one side of the road would help prevent inconsiderate parking and continue to limit the speeds that vehicles can travel at.

The other negative of putting the yellow lines on both sides of Leigh road would be to push the school parking issues further into the side roads or even onto Tonbridge road where there is nothing to stop you from parking. Parents will continue to drive to the school so better management is the answer not banning the parking.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 139

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

There is no need for double yellow lines, it would interfere with deliveries and residents parking.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 492

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

There isn't a commuter parking problem on Leigh Road. People don't park on the road unless they live here or are doing school pick up/drop off. Even that doesn't cause much of a problem. There will be a problem if you restrict the parking there. Don't do it

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 377

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

This proposal is addressing an issue that doesn't exist and in place creating multiple new problems for the local residents and community.

1. There is no parking problem in the affected area.
2. The current layout enables residents who cannot afford the extortionate parking at the train station to have an alternative.
3. I have serious concerns around what impact this may have on increasing the speed at which people drive along the road towards Stocks Green primary school. Which currently isn't an issue.

Please invest time/effort and funds into addressing issues the residents actually want (like fixing the poor state of the roads) and avoid another waste of time and money like the introduction and subsequent roll back of the 20mph speed limit zones.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 353

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

This will affect me as a working mum. I will have to change my hours to allow me to park further away !

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 426

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

This would limit the parking options around the school during drop off / pick up which would have a disproportionate impact on working parents.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 270

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

We are writing to inform you that whilst we understand the need for the current parking arrangements for on-street parking in our area, we object to the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements in the Hildenborough Parking review (DD-588-05 Hildenborough – Leigh Road North).

- You state that the aim of the parking review is to address commuter parking problems, parking issues outside schools, prevent obstructive and unsafe parking and help maintain traffic movements. Bearing in mind that the reason for the proposed on-street parking arrangements on Leigh Road can only be school-related, why are the proposed no waiting times directly outside Stocks Green School 09:30 to 11:30 Monday to Friday? These times do not even fit with school drop off times.
- If the proposals are to help alleviate issues with school parking could the school's current parking area within the school parameters not be expanded – there is unused space there that could be used for more parking if there really is a requirement for it.
- We are elderly and one of us has mobility issues so needs to be able to park as closely to our house as is safely possible to do so. At the moment we park in one of the two areas where you are proposing to restrict parking between 09:30 and 11:30 Monday to Friday immediately in front of the school and just after the junction with Stocks Green Road.
- We are a two-car household with no driveway or garage to park cars in; our only parking option is on-street parking.
- A driveway is not an option for us for two reasons –
- Our property is housing association owned;
- The position of our house immediately on the junction where Stocks Green and Leigh Road meet means that for safety reasons we would not be able to put a driveway in.
- Ours is not the only property without a driveway, or the means to be able to have one: 42 Leigh Road and 2 Stocks Green Road also have to rely solely on on-street parking.
- House number 40 Leigh Road even had problems when applying for a dropped kerb due to road safety concerns and that house is further from the junction than our house.

Whilst it might appear from your revised plans that we could park our cars on Stocks Green Road, this would not be without issue as this would mean parking directly outside someone else's house, along a road already full of cars parking on the street – and some of the houses on Stock Green Road do not have their own driveways either so their only option is to park outside on the street.

We do not feel that you are taking the needs of residents into account – we need to have somewhere to park along Leigh Road close to our house – you cannot expect us to not have anywhere to park a vehicle. You state you are trying to promote a safe environment for pedestrians and vulnerable road users yet if the current proposals go ahead several households will not have anywhere to park near their houses, households of already elderly and vulnerable people – which seems to be in direct contravention of what you are trying to achieve.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 267

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

We have lived at this address for over 25 years and during that time there has never been enough of an issue to warrant double yellow lines, as per the proposal.

Whilst, at times during school drop off and collection there is some congestion - the thought to put in double yellow lines is a massive over-reaction to a limited problem that clears very quickly and is only relevant during school term times.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 368

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Where do you expect people to park to drop off and collect their children from the local school, it's utterly ridiculous and will cause even more problems

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 331

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Where these plans push parking alternatives is not sufficient to deal with the volume. There is clearly a need to rethink the whole parking situation in Hildenborough by redirecting out of village traffic away from school areas. The plans across the whole village do not achieve this.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 375

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

Where will people park when dropping off children at Stocks Green school. People will end up parking along London Rd which will be far more dangerous.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 136

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

You need to put in place suitable car park for school. There are children attending this school that do not live close by (Tonbridge and further) therefore there is a requirement for parking. Also parents who live in the village who work so do not have the time to walk 10+ minutes there and back twice a day. Also speed bumps and a 20 mile a hour zone would need to be put in place. My child has already almost been run over a couple of times on this road my speeding cars not only on the road but mounting the pavement or so close to the path that you get clipped (this recently happened to a parent and kid - speeding car touched them on the pavement at school pick up and they ended up being pushed over!). The cars and other

bigger vehicles speed down this road even with masses of cars parked in their way. If you were to remove the parked cars without speed bumps and 20 mph speed limit then I fear these cars will just get quicker and I fear for the kids attending this school. Once there is parking available for 20+ cars and strong speed reduction tools implemented then I won't object to this proposal.

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 66

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 165

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 167

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 212

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 228

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 340

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 352

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 365

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 374

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 386

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 410

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 417

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 436

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 448

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 468

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I object to the proposed changes
------------------	----------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

Response reference – Hildenborough Formal - Online 488

Location

Leigh Rd (North)	I support the proposed changes
------------------	--------------------------------

Comments

No comments supplied

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: Hildenborough Parking Review
Date: 07 February 2025 10:02:40

Dear Parking Team

I live at [REDACTED] Streamside Close.

I am not in favour of the proposals for changes to on-street parking in Leigh Road North (DD-588-05 Hildenborough).

Comments: the residents are being penalised and we will not be able to park on the road all day. I have one day off during the week and I would have to move my car for 9.30-11.30 under the no waiting proposals.

The worst obstruction happens at school drop off/pick up times and this is not being observed by parents and they are not penalised for this so they carry on parking in the bus stop and on corners. We should be having parking patrols issuing tickets and this may stop the inconsiderate parking or permit parking only.

Thank you.

Sian Clinning

Sent from my iPad

**Formal Consultation
Hildenborough Parking Review (Form-5)**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill
West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting "Hildenborough Parking Review" in your email title

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th February 2025.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	

I am (~~in favour~~ / not in favour)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements in the Hildenborough Parking Review
(DD-588-05 Hildenborough - Leigh Road north)

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
<p>most of the proposals seem sensible. The one that makes no sense to me are the pale blue lines. By having no waiting between 9.30 and 11.30am this does not alleviate the parking issues at school time, whilst at the same time preventing residents & their visitors from parking during the morning why?</p>	
<p>Signature: [Redacted]</p>	<p>Date: 28/1/25.</p>

Parking Consultations - Frequently Asked Questions

Why isn't this consultation just an online survey?

Whilst new technology has significant benefits, experience has shown that some residents like to make notes on the paper plans, where they can suggest alterations or adjustments and send those back to us – this can assist in understanding their point of view. However, we have an online option available – scan the QR code or visit

www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations

Should I reply by email and letter?

We record all the responses by whichever method, so there is no need to duplicate them, but our online service is easier for us to manage.

I've already responded to the informal consultation – do I need to comment again?

Unfortunately we cannot take forward comments from the informal consultation process. Comments at that stage were valuable to help shape the proposals but cannot be used for the statutory consultation as the proposal itself might have been revised to reflect the previous comments received.

You have my name from the previous consultation – why have you written to “The Householder”?

Some time has passed since the informal consultation was carried out and people may have moved and there may be new residents that are commenting for the first time. Writing to a specific name might mean new occupants would ignore (or forward) a letter to the previous occupant.

Does everyone in a household need to respond?

No – we take responses on a household-by-household basis.

Can I come to the offices to discuss the changes?

No – the responses need to be in writing, online or email so we can pass them on to the Councillors, and the staff in the Parking team are often out of the office and may not be available. However, please feel free to drop-off your consultation form to the reception desk at the Council Offices in person if you don't want to send it in by post or digitally.

What do we do with your data?

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website **www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking**

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Parking Office](#)
Subject: Consultations Fellowes Way /Stocks Green Road
Date: 28 January 2025 14:22:44

I have been attempting to use your online chat which is supposedly connected to an agent - but no reply; the current plans do not include Fellowes Way although the wording says the consultation is for yellow lines on Fellowes Way which I strongly Object to for all the reasons in the informal consultation . The current plans has 'lost' the previous plan 4 and now show Stocks Green Road East and West - why is Fellowes way not shown? Also why has residents on Wilson Close not received notice of this consultation as we are directly affected - our sole route of access being Fellowes Way . Please update the consultation with the plan affecting all the roads listed in the written consultation.

Thank you

This email has been scanned by TMBC before delivery to your mailbox. Please click [here](#) to report this email as spam and help train the filter.

**Formal Consultation
Hildenborough Parking Review (Form-5)**

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill
West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting "Hildenborough Parking Review" in your email title

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th February 2025.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100%;"></div>
Address	
Telephone	
Email	

I am (in favour / not in favour)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements in the Hildenborough Parking Review
(DD-588-05 Hildenborough - Leigh Road north)

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
<p>we live in a 3 Bed house opposite these proposed lines, we have a vehicle that we have to park on The Road as no room on our drive as a resident of Leigh Road I think its unacceptable that your considering the general public (school traffic) and commuters who park for work I will have no where to park my car if this is brought into force, I will have to park around by Shocks green Road which then results in them residents having no parking also for there vehicles all this is doing is moving vehicles from Leigh Road to Shocks green Road</p>	
Signed	Date 29/1/25

Some problem nothing solved but issues for Shocks green residents instead.

Parking Consultations - Frequently Asked Questions

Why isn't this consultation just an online survey?

Whilst new technology has significant benefits, experience has shown that some residents like to make notes on the paper plans, where they can suggest alterations or adjustments and send those back to us – this can assist in understanding their point of view. However, we have an online option available – scan the QR code or visit

www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations

Should I reply by email and letter?

We record all the responses by whichever method, so there is no need to duplicate them, but our online service is easier for us to manage.

I've already responded to the informal consultation – do I need to comment again?

Unfortunately we cannot take forward comments from the informal consultation process. Comments at that stage were valuable to help shape the proposals but cannot be used for the statutory consultation as the proposal itself might have been revised to reflect the previous comments received.

You have my name from the previous consultation – why have you written to “The Householder”?

Some time has passed since the informal consultation was carried out and people may have moved and there may be new residents that are commenting for the first time. Writing to a specific name might mean new occupants would ignore (or forward) a letter to the previous occupant.

Does everyone in a household need to respond?

No – we take responses on a household-by-household basis.

Can I come to the offices to discuss the changes?

No – the responses need to be in writing, online or email so we can pass them on to the Councillors, and the staff in the Parking team are often out of the office and may not be available. However, please feel free to drop-off your consultation form to the reception desk at the Council Offices in person if you don't want to send it in by post or digitally.

What do we do with your data?

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website **www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking**

Formal Consultation
Hildenborough Parking Review (Form-5)

Please return this form to;

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill
West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk, quoting "Hildenborough Parking Review" in your email title

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations or scanning this QR code



All responses must be received by 16th February 2025.

Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 100%;"></div>
Address	
Telephone	
Email	

I am (in favour / ~~not in favour~~)* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements in the Hildenborough Parking Review (DD-588-05 Hildenborough - Leigh Road north)

* delete where not applicable

Comments	
I am in favour of this, as we have to plan our trips out depending on school comings and goings as it cause chaos on leaving the house but especially returning as I have been shouted out why I am	
Signed	Date 30/1/25

Stopping there and on a B road you are supposed to reverse on to your drive well this is not possible when it is busy at school times and most Stressful. Also parents park outside early to ensure their parking space also

Why isn't this consultation just an online survey?

Whilst new technology has significant benefits, experience has shown that some residents like to make notes on the paper plans, where they can suggest alterations or adjustments and send those back to us – this can assist in understanding their point of view. However, we have an online option available – scan the QR code or visit www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations

Should I reply by email and letter?

We record all the responses by whichever method, so there is no need to duplicate them, but our online service is easier for us to manage.

I've already responded to the informal consultation – do I need to comment again?

Unfortunately we cannot take forward comments from the informal consultation process. Comments at that stage were valuable to help shape the proposals but cannot be used for the statutory consultation as the proposal itself might have been revised to reflect the previous comments received.

You have my name from the previous consultation – why have you written to “The Householder”?

Some time has passed since the informal consultation was carried out and people may have moved and there may be new residents that are commenting for the first time. Writing to a specific name might mean new occupants would ignore (or forward) a letter to the previous occupant.

Does everyone in a household need to respond?

No – we take responses on a household-by-household basis.

Can I come to the offices to discuss the changes?

No – the responses need to be in writing, online or email so we can pass them on to the Councillors, and the staff in the Parking team are often out of the office and may not be available. However, please feel free to drop-off your consultation form to the reception desk at the Council Offices in person if you don't want to send it in by post or digitally.

What do we do with your data?

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

teaching staff can be parked outside all day
provision should be made within the school
they have enough land to make more
parking.

Also when its raining they stop over our drive.
Also when we don't know there is a parents
evening or event at the school again difficult
parking on an off drive. would be nice to know