# Formal Consultation Hildenborough Parking Review (Form-5 & 9)

Please return this form to:

The Parking Team
Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council
Gibson Building, Gibson Drive
Kings Hill
West Malling
Kent ME19 4LZ

Alternatively, responses can be sent via email to <a href="mailto:parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk">parking.office@tmbc.gov.uk</a>, quoting "Hildenborough Parking Review" in your email title

Want to go digital? You can view the proposals and respond online by visiting www.tmbc.gov.uk/consultations or scanning this QR code



## All responses must be received by 16th February 2025.

#### Data protection

Please remember that any comments made may be used within reports to Councillors and within documents that may be made available to the public, though any personal information will be protected.

If you would like more information about how we use your data, please read our Privacy Statement on our website www.tmbc.gov.uk/parking

Name (please print)	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	

I am (in-favour / not in favour)\* of the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements in the Hildenborough Parking Review (DD-588-05 Hildenborough - Leigh Road north)

#### \* delete where not applicable

Comments			
	Please see	attached	
Signed			Date 5 Feb 2025.

### The Parking Team T&M Borough Council.

Dear Sirs,

I have lived in Leigh Road for in excess of 25 years. In which time there has been a considerable increase in the amount of traffic using it as rat run generating from the new development at Powder Mills, Leigh and outlying Districts.

However on road parking has increased in proportion.

Your proposals may provide free passage for vehicles using Leigh Road but simply extends the parking problem to minor streets in the neighbouring areas. Ie. school parking both AM and PM will move to Fellows Way, Brookmead, Ashley Road etc,etc.

Your team may be proud of the fact that they have resolved the traffic flow problem along Leigh Road north of Stocks Green Road but their research hasn't taken into consideration the inherent reasons for longer term parking. For example many of the properties in Leigh Road have no facilities for off street parking, many of them are in excess of 50 years plus old hence they require constant maintenance but in your proposals no provision has been made available for trades to be able to park and unload equipment and materials. Children who have grown up in the area now want to own vehicles but have no facility to park within a close proximity to their home. Likewise no provision has been made available for visitors whether social or carers, delivery vehicles and so on.

Road safety at the junction of Stocks Green Road and Leigh Road has not been addressed.

My proposal may be more expensive than the outlay of buying a couple hundred litres of yellow marking paint but it is a longer term solution.

My proposal.

- 1.The junction of Stocks Green Road and Leigh Road, change the signage to Stop rather than Give Way this will massively reduce low speed shunt accidents.
- 2. Impose a 20MPH speed limit on Leigh Road. I was under the impression that this was mandatory on roads outside schools.
- 3. With negotiations between the Kent Education Authority and Kent Highways to expand the staff car park within the school grounds. This will free up as many as 6 car spaces daily used by staff members of the school. It could also give provisions for school visitors.
- 4. Create a lay-by stretching from the existing school zigzag box to just before the junction of Stocks Green Road. This will open up Leigh Road and allow free 2 way traffic

flow, reducing the chance of a head on accident with vehicles egressing Stocks Green Road.

- 5. The timing you are proposing ie 9.30AM to 11.30AM serve no useful purpose.
- 6. With regards the proposed road markings. Coming from the junction of Stocks Green Road left turn travelling north up Leigh Road. The double yellows on the right should be fine until just past Fellows Way where the light green proposed, no waiting 9.30AM to 11.30AM. These should be removed up to the school zigzag. The light green line beyond the zigzags becomes redundant, being replaced by the lay-by.

The markings on the left from no20 to 16 should be removed.

Attention should be given for the provision of white lines denoting individual house cross-overs, from numbers 20 to 11 inclusive.

Should you wish to discuss my proposals in further detail please feel free to contact me, in the mean time I trust these observations are of constructive assistance.

#### Kind regards



